



Table of Contents

VOLUME 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	T-4
--------------------------------	------------

1. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR THE ACTION

1.1 Assumptions and Report Organization	1.1-1
1.1.1 Assumptions and Definitions	1.1-1
1.1.1.1 Alternatives Analyzed	1.1-1
1.1.1.2 Federal Grant and State Lease	1.1-1
1.1.1.3 Definition of Pipeline System	1.1-2
1.1.1.4 Affected Environment	1.1-3
1.1.1.5 Analysis of Effects	1.1-3
1.1.2 How to Use This Report	1.1-5
1.2 Purpose and Need	1.2-1
1.3 History of Trans Alaska Pipeline System	1.3-1

2. ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

2.1 Description of Proposed Action: Renewal of Right-of-Way	2.1-1
2.1.1 Trans Alaska Pipeline System	2.1-1
2.1.1.1 Description by Major Component	2.1-1
2.1.1.2 Projected Use	2.1-9
2.1.2 Pipeline-Associated Marine Transportation	2.1-14
2.1.2.1 Tanker Traffic	2.1-14
2.1.2.2 Ship Escort/Response Vessel System	2.1-17
2.2 No Action Alternative: Right-of-Way Not Renewed	2.2-1
2.2.1 Completion of Use	2.2-1
2.2.2 Major Physical DR&R Assumptions	2.2-1
2.2.3 Description of No-Action Alternative	2.2-2
2.3 Alternatives and Issues Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis	2.3-1

3. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Physical Characteristics	3.1-1
3.1.1 Terrestrial Environment	3.1-1
3.1.1.1 Physiography and Geology	3.1-1
3.1.1.2 Paleontological Resources	3.1-4
3.1.1.3 Soils and Permafrost	3.1-5



3.1.1.4	Sand, Gravel and Rock	3.1-6
3.1.1.5	Hazardous Materials	3.1-6
3.1.1.6	Hydrology/River Characteristics	3.1-7
3.1.1.7	Seismicity	3.1-16
3.1.2	Water Resources	3.1-18
3.1.2.1	Fresh Water Quality	3.1-18
3.1.2.2	Port Valdez Marine Waters	3.1-19
3.1.3	Atmospheric Environment	3.1-21
3.1.3.1	North of Brooks Range	3.1-21
3.1.3.2	South of Brooks Range to North of Alaska Range	3.1-23
3.1.3.3	South of Alaska Range	3.1-26
3.2	Biological Resources	3.2-1
3.2.1	Special Areas, Special Management Zones, and Zones of Restricted Activity	3.2-1
3.2.2	Vegetation and Wetlands	3.2-1
3.2.2.1	Arctic Coastal Plain	3.2-3
3.2.2.2	Arctic Foothills	3.2-6
3.2.2.3	Brooks Range	3.2-8
3.2.2.4	Interior Forests	3.2-8
3.2.2.5	The Alaska Range	3.2-9
3.2.2.6	Copper Plateau	3.2-10
3.2.2.7	Pacific Coastal Mountains	3.2-10
3.2.2.8	Coastal Western Hemlock-Sitka Spruce Forest	3.2-10
3.2.3	Fish	3.2-11
3.2.3.1	Arctic Slope Drainage	3.2-11
3.2.3.2	Yukon Drainage	3.2-16
3.2.3.3	Copper River Drainage	3.2-17
3.2.3.4	Prince William Sound and Tanker Routes	3.2-18
3.2.4	Birds	3.2-19
3.2.4.1	Waterfowl	3.2-19
3.2.4.2	Raptors	3.2-26
3.2.4.3	Shorebirds	3.2-27
3.2.4.4	Seabirds	3.2-29
3.2.4.5	Passerines and Other Birds	3.2-29
3.2.5	Terrestrial Mammals	3.2-32
3.2.5.1	Moose	3.2-38
3.2.5.2	Caribou	3.2-42
3.2.5.3	Muskoxen	3.2-48
3.2.5.4	Bison	3.2-50
3.2.5.5	Dall Sheep	3.2-52
3.2.5.6	Deer	3.2-54
3.2.5.7	Mountain Goats	3.2-55
3.2.5.8	Brown (Grizzly) Bear	3.2-57
3.2.5.9	Black Bear	3.2-61
3.2.5.10	Wolf	3.2-64
3.2.5.11	Furbearers and Small Mammals	3.2-66
3.2.6	Marine Mammals	3.2-72
3.2.6.1	North Slope/Beaufort Sea	3.2-72
3.2.6.2	Prince William Sound Tanker Routes	3.2-78



3.2.7	Threatened and Endangered Species	3.2-82
3.2.7.1	<i>Birds</i>	3.2-82
3.2.7.2	<i>Plants</i>	3.2-86
3.2.7.3	<i>Mammals</i>	3.2-86
3.3	Social Systems	3.3-1
3.3.1	Economy	3.3-1
3.3.1.1	<i>Importance of ANS/TAPS to the National Economy</i>	3.3-1
3.3.1.2	<i>Importance of ANS/TAPS to the State Economies</i>	3.3-5
3.3.1.3	<i>Importance of ANS/TAPS to Regional Economies in Alaska</i>	3.3-19
3.3.1.4	<i>Alaska Native Community</i>	3.3-22
3.3.1.5	<i>Other Historical TAPS/ANS Impacts</i>	3.3-23
3.3.2	Sociocultural Systems	3.3-29
3.3.2.1	<i>Introduction and Definition</i>	3.3-29
3.3.2.2	<i>Description of Sociocultural Systems Past and Present</i>	3.3-29
3.3.3	Subsistence	3.3-49
3.3.3.1	<i>Definition of Subsistence</i>	3.3-49
3.3.3.2	<i>Sociocultural Significance of Subsistence</i>	3.3-49
3.3.3.3	<i>Activities That Make Up Subsistence</i>	3.3-50
3.3.3.4	<i>Subsistence Harvest Patterns</i>	3.3-54
3.3.3.5	<i>Access to Subsistence Resources</i>	3.3-64
3.3.4	Cultural Resources	3.3-65
3.3.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	3.3-65
3.3.4.2	<i>Cultural History of TAPS Route</i>	3.3-66
3.3.5	Land Ownership and Land Uses	3.3-71
3.3.5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	3.3-71
3.3.5.2	<i>Land Ownership</i>	3.3-71
3.3.5.3	<i>Land Use</i>	3.3-72
3.3.6	Recreation and Visual Resources	3.3-75
3.3.6.1	<i>Introduction</i>	3.3-75
3.3.6.2	<i>Recreation</i>	3.3-75
3.3.6.3	<i>Visual Resources</i>	3.3-76
3.3.7	Wilderness	3.3-77
3.3.7.1	<i>Federal- or State-Designated Wilderness</i>	3.3-77
3.3.7.2	<i>Description of Wilderness Character of Remaining Access</i>	3.3-78
3.3.8	Transportation	3.3-78
3.3.8.1	<i>Introduction and History</i>	3.3-78
3.3.8.2	<i>Transportation Systems</i>	3.3-79

4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

4.1	Mechanisms of Impact	4.1-1
4.1.1	Ground-Impacting Maintenance Actions	4.1-1
4.1.1.1	<i>Corrosion Repair of Below-Ground Mainline Pipeline</i>	4.1-1
4.1.1.2	<i>Slope/Workpad Maintenance</i>	4.1-2
4.1.1.3	<i>Potential Pipeline Replacement</i>	4.1-2
4.1.1.4	<i>Mainline Below-Ground Valve Inspections</i>	4.1-2
4.1.1.5	<i>Remediation of Mainline Cathodic Protection</i>	4.1-3
4.1.1.6	<i>River Crossings and River Training Structure Repairs</i>	4.1-3
4.1.1.7	<i>Surveillance Actions</i>	4.1-4



4.1.1.8	Fuel Gas Line Maintenance and Repair	4.1-4
4.1.1.9	New Material Sites/Rock Quarries	4.1-4
4.1.2	Spill Analysis	4.1-4
4.1.2.1	Data Sources and Compilation	4.1-5
4.1.2.2	Data Analysis	4.1-5
4.1.2.3	Projections of Future Spill Volumes	4.1-10
4.2	Existing Mitigation Measures	4.2-1
4.2.1	Design Features	4.2-2
4.2.1.1	Special Foundation Designs for Permafrost Soils	4.2-2
4.2.1.2	Design Features to Mitigate Earthquake Effects	4.2-4
4.2.1.3	Mitigation of Effects of Stream Crossings and Instream Alignments	4.2-5
4.2.1.4	Hydrocarbon Emissions Control	4.2-8
4.2.1.5	Ballast Water Treatment	4.2-8
4.2.1.6	Pipeline Spill Control	4.2-10
4.2.1.7	Designated Big Game Crossings	4.2-11
4.2.2	TAPS Monitoring, Surveillance, and Maintenance Programs	4.2-11
4.2.2.1	Integrity Monitoring Programs	4.2-12
4.2.2.2	Surveillance and Maintenance Programs	4.2-19
4.2.2.3	Environmental Monitoring	4.2-19
4.2.3	Spill Prevention and Response	4.2-24
4.2.3.1	Pipeline	4.2-25
4.2.3.2	Valdez Marine Terminal	4.2-26
4.2.3.3	Tanker Trade	4.2-27
4.2.3.4	North Slope Oil Fields	4.2-33
4.2.4	Social Mitigation Measures	4.2-33
4.2.4.1	Original ROW Stipulations	4.2-34
4.2.4.2	Stipulations Contained in Other Lease Agreements	4.2-34
4.2.4.3	Specific Commitments by TAPS Owners or Alyeska	4.2-35
4.2.4.4	Economic/Demographic Factors	4.2-35
4.2.4.5	Federal and State Laws and Implementing Regulations	4.2-35
4.3	Impacts of Proposed Action	4.3-1
4.3.1	Physical Characteristics	4.3-1
4.3.1.1	Terrestrial Environment	4.3-1
4.3.1.2	Water Resources	4.3-12
4.3.1.3	Atmospheric Environment	4.3-22
4.3.1.4	Global Climate Change	4.3-33
4.3.2	Biological Resources	4.3-34
4.3.2.1	Special Areas, Special Management Zones, and Zones of Restricted Activity	4.3-35
4.3.2.2	Vegetation and Wetlands	4.3-36
4.3.2.3	Fish	4.3-44
4.3.2.4	Birds	4.3-46
4.3.2.5	Terrestrial Mammals	4.3-50
4.3.2.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	4.3-67
4.3.2.7	Effects of Treated Ballast-Water Effects on Benthos in Port Valdez	4.3-70
4.3.3	Social Systems	4.3-70
4.3.3.1	Economy	4.3-70
4.3.3.2	Sociocultural Systems	4.3-81
4.3.3.3	Subsistence	4.3-82



4.3.3.4	<i>Cultural Resources</i>	4.3-83
4.3.3.5	<i>Land Ownership</i>	4.3-85
4.3.3.6	<i>Land Use</i>	4.3-85
4.3.3.7	<i>Coastal Management</i>	4.3-85
4.3.3.8	<i>Recreation</i>	4.3-86
4.3.3.9	<i>Visual Resources</i>	4.3-86
4.3.3.10	<i>Wilderness</i>	4.3-86
4.3.3.11	<i>Transportation</i>	4.3-86
4.4	Impacts of No-Action Alternative	4.4-1
4.4.1	Physical Characteristics	4.4-1
4.4.1.1	<i>Terrestrial Environment</i>	4.4-1
4.4.1.2	<i>Water Resources</i>	4.4-3
4.4.1.3	<i>Atmospheric Environment</i>	4.4-4
4.4.1.4	<i>Global Climate Change</i>	4.4-5
4.4.2	Biological Resources	4.4-5
4.4.2.1	<i>Special Areas, Special Management Zones, and Zones of Restricted Activity</i>	4.4-5
4.4.2.2	<i>Vegetation and Wetlands</i>	4.4-6
4.4.2.3	<i>Fish</i>	4.4-7
4.4.2.4	<i>Birds</i>	4.4-8
4.4.2.5	<i>Terrestrial Mammals</i>	4.4-11
4.4.2.6	<i>Threatened and Endangered Species</i>	4.4-20
4.4.3	Social Systems	4.4-22
4.4.3.1	<i>Economy</i>	4.4-22
4.4.3.2	<i>Sociocultural Systems</i>	4.4-40
4.4.3.3	<i>Subsistence</i>	4.4-40
4.4.3.4	<i>Cultural Resources</i>	4.4-41
4.4.3.5	<i>Land Ownership</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.6	<i>Land Use</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.7	<i>Coastal Management</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.8	<i>Recreation</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.9	<i>Visual Resources</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.10	<i>Wilderness</i>	4.4-42
4.4.3.11	<i>Transportation</i>	4.4-42
4.5	Cumulative Impacts	4.5-1
4.5.1	Methodology	4.5-2
4.5.1.1	<i>Sequence of Analysis</i>	4.5-2
4.5.1.2	<i>Detailed Discussion of Methods for Evaluating Cumulative Impacts</i>	4.5-8
4.5.2	Other Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions and Associated Environmental Issues	4.5-10
4.5.2.1	<i>Other Actions</i>	4.5-10
4.5.2.2	<i>Types of Issues Creating the Potential for Cumulative Effects</i>	4.5-19
4.5.3	Results: Proposed Action	4.5-19
4.5.3.1	<i>Proposed Action: Physical Resources</i>	4.5-20
4.5.3.2	<i>Proposed Action: Biological Resources</i>	4.5-26
4.5.3.3	<i>Proposed Action: Social Resources</i>	4.5-49
4.5.4	Results: No-Action Alternative	4.5-66
4.5.4.1	<i>No-Action Alternative: Physical Resources</i>	4.5-66
4.5.4.2	<i>No-Action Alternative: Biological Resources</i>	4.5-68



4.5.4.3 *No-Action Alternative: Social Issues* 4.5-76

4.5.5 Summary and Conclusions 4.5-84

4.6 Unavoidable Adverse Effects of Proposed Action 4.6-1

4.7 Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses and Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity 4.7-1

4.7.1 Physical Resources 4.7-1

4.7.2 Biological Resources 4.7-1

4.7.3 Cultural/Paleontological Resources 4.7-2

4.7.4 Visual Resources 4.7-2

4.7.5 Socioeconomic Effects 4.7-2

4.8 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 4.8-1

4.8.1 Crude Oil and Gas 4.8-1

4.8.2 Physical Environment 4.8-2

 4.8.2.1 *Water Resources* 4.8-2

 4.8.2.2 *Water Quality* 4.8-2

 4.8.2.3 *Air Quality* 4.8-2

4.8.3 Biological Environment 4.8-2

 4.8.3.1 *Vegetation* 4.8-2

 4.8.3.2 *Fish* 4.8-2

 4.8.3.3 *Birds* 4.8-3

 4.8.3.4 *Mammals* 4.8-3

 4.8.3.5 *Endangered and Threatened Species* 4.8-3

4.8.4 Social Systems 4.8-3

 4.8.4.1 *Economy* 4.8-3

 4.8.4.2 *Cultural Resources* 4.8-3

 4.8.4.3 *Recreational and Visual Resources* 4.8-3

 4.8.4.4 *Subsistence Harvest Patterns* 4.8-3

 4.8.4.5 *Sociocultural Systems* 4.8-4

4.8.5 Resources Used in Construction and Operations 4.8-4

4.9 Energy Requirements and Conservation Potential 4.9-1

4.9.1 TAPS Energy Usage 4.9-1

4.9.2 Energy Intensity 4.9-1

4.9.3 Marine Transportation 4.9-3

4.9.4 Synthesis 4.9-5

4.10 Environmental Justice 4.10-1

4.10.1 Proposed Action 4.10-1

4.10.2 No-Action Alternative 4.10-1

4.10.3 Cumulative Effects 4.10-2

4.11 Impact on Sacred Sites 4.11-1

4.12 Invasive Species 4.12-1

4.12.1 Tanker Traffic 4.12-1

4.12.2 Revegetation 4.12-1

4.13 Impact on Wetlands 4.13-1

4.13.1 Vegetation and Wetlands 4.13-1

4.13.2 No-Action Alternative 4.13-1



VOLUME 2

5. LIST OF PREPARERS 5-1

6. INDEX 6-1

7. LIST OF ACRONYMS 7-1

8. LITERATURE CITED 8-1

APPENDICES

A. Trans Alaska Pipeline System Throughput Analysis A-1

B. Oil Spill Analysis for North Slope Oil Production and Transportation Operations B-1

C. Trans Alaska Pipeline System Right-of-Way Map Atlas C-1

D. Historical Overview of North Slope Petroleum Development and
Exxon Valdez Oil Spill D-1

E. Federal Agreement and Grant Right-of-Way for the
Trans-Alaska Pipeline E-1



List of Figures

Figure 1 General study areas used in preparation of this Environmental Report vi

Figure 1.1-1 General study areas used in preparation of this Environmental Report 1.1-4

Figure 2-1 State of Alaska map showing place names and trans-Alaska pipeline route after p. 2-2

Figure 2.1-1 Trans Alaska Pipeline System after p. 2.1-2

Figure 2.1-2 Pipeline construction modes for Trans Alaska Pipeline System 2.1-3

Figure 2.1-3 TAPS gate valve and check valve 2.1-4

Figure 2.1-4 Pump Station 1 at TAPS Milepost 0 2.1-6

Figure 2.1-5 Layout of Valdez Marine Terminal 2.1-7

Figure 2.1-6 Daily average TAPS throughput since startup 2.1-9

Figure 2.1-7 Sample hydraulic gradient graph 2.1-10

Figure 2.1-8 Average incoming crude-oil temperature at Valdez 2.1-12

Figure 2.1-9 Routes from Valdez for tankers carrying Alaska North Slope crude 2.1-15

Figure 2.1-10 Planned phaseout for existing TAPS-related tankers 2.1-16

Figure 2.1-11 Tanker projections 2.1-16

Figure 3-1 General study areas used in preparation of this Environmental Report 3-2

Figure 3.1-1 Physiographic units along the Trans Alaska Pipeline System after p. 3.1-2

Figure 3.1-2 Hypothetical example of typical temperature profile and thickness of permafrost in central Alaska 3.1-6

Figure 3.1-3 Braided river — Dietrich River, MP 185.8. Characteristics and changes over time 3.1-8

Figure 3.1-4 Floodplain in a braided North Slope river — Sagavanirktok River, MP 63.0. Characteristics and changes over time 3.1-9

Figure 3.1-5 Split channel and sharp bend — Middle Fork Koyukuk River, MP 218.5. Characteristics and changes over time 3.1-10

Figure 3.1-6 Single incised channel — Tazlina River, MP 687.0. Characteristics and changes over time 3.1-11

Figure 3.1-7 Alluvial fan — Miller Creek, MP 599.6. Characteristics and changes over time . 3.1-12

Figure 3.1-8 Monthly flow data for streams crossed by the trans-Alaska pipeline 3.1-15

Figure 3.1-9 Peak flow hydrographs for representative streams crossed by the pipeline 3.1-16

Figure 3.1-10 Map showing seismic zones and fault crossings along TAPS and earthquakes greater than magnitude 5.5 that have occurred near TAPS since startup. 3.1-17

Figure 3.1-11 Field water-quality data from selected TAPS route locations (May-September 1972) 3.1-18

Figure 3.1-12 Water quality in Sagavanirktok River basin, average values along watercourse, June and August 1969 3.1-19

Figure 3.1-13 Groundwater quality at Alyeska Pipeline Service Company facilities 3.1-20

Figure 3.1-14 Vertical distribution of temperature, salinity, and density in Port Valdez 3.1-21

Figure 3.1-15 Mean temperature for six weather stations 3.1- 22

Figure 3.1-16 Normal monthly accumulation of precipitation for six weather stations 3.1-22



Figure 3.1-17	Average monthly snowfall for six weather stations	3.1-23
Figure 3.1-18	Mean number of clear days for six weather stations	3.1-23
Figure 3.1-19	Average hours of daylight for six weather stations	3.1-24
Figure 3.1-20	Windroses for five weather stations	3.1-25
Figure 3.2-1	Major vegetational zones along TAPS	after p. 3.2-2
Figure 3.2-2	Major drainages along the TAPS ROW	3.2-12
Figure 3.2-3	Locations of seabird colonies in North Gulf Coast/Prince William Sound region	3.2-30
Figure 3.2-4	State of Alaska game management unit map	3.2-34
Figure 3.2-5	Game management unit map for moose along TAPS	3.2-39
Figure 3.2-6	Moose mortality chart for GMU 13	3.2-40
Figure 3.2-7	Moose hunter numbers for GMU 13	3.2-40
Figure 3.2-8	ADF&G caribou herd map with population estimates	after p. 3.2-44
Figure 3.2-9	Nelchina Caribou Herd population estimates	3.2-43
Figure 3.2-10	Delta Caribou Herd population estimates	3.2-43
Figure 3.2-11	Central Arctic Herd population estimates	3.2-44
Figure 3.2-12	Nelchina Caribou Herd mortality causes and harvest permits issued	3.2-45
Figure 3.2-13	Game management unit map for muskoxen along TAPS	3.2-49
Figure 3.2-14	Game management unit map for bison along TAPS	3.2-50
Figure 3.2-15	Game Management Unit map for Dall sheep along TAPS	3.2-52
Figure 3.2-16	Game management unit map for deer along TAPS	3.2-54
Figure 3.2-17	Game management unit map for mountain goats along TAPS	3.2-55
Figure 3.2-18	Game management unit map for brown bears along TAPS	3.2-57
Figure 3.2-19	Game management unit map for black bears along TAPS	3.2-62
Figure 3.2-20	Game management unit map for wolves along TAPS	3.2-64
Figure 3.2-21	Fall wolf-population estimates/harvests, GMU 13	3.2-64
Figure 3.2-22	Abundance patterns of Spectacled Eiders across the North Slope	3.2-84
Figure 3.3-1	ANS and other Alaska crude oil as a percentage domestic crude production, 1977-1998	3.3-2
Figure 3.3-2	U.S. net balance-of-trade in crude oil as reported and estimated assuming no ANS/TAPS outputs	3.3-2
Figure 3.3-3	Federal royalties and income taxes from Alaska North Slope and TAPS operations 1977-1998	3.3-3
Figure 3.3-4	Estimates of the full-time equivalent and total number of tankers engaged in the Alaska North Slope trade	3.3-4
Figure 3.3-5	Alaska gross state product by sector	3.3-6
Figure 3.3-6	Alaska North Slope oil employment (left axis) and wages in money of day and 1998 dollars (right axis)	3.3-7
Figure 3.3-7	Average annual wages by industry in Alaska, 1997	3.3-8
Figure 3.3-8	Twenty states listed in descending order of combined state and local expenditures per capita, 1996	3.3-14
Figure 3.3-9	Twenty states listed in descending order of debt per capita, 1996	3.3-14
Figure 3.3-10	Permanent Fund Dividend in dollars per person and as percent of per-capita income	3.3-15
Figure 3.3-11	State revenues from the petroleum industry as a percentage of unrestricted General Fund revenues, 1965-1999	3.3-17
Figure 3.3-12	Communities in North Slope, Central TAPS, and Valdez/Prince William Sound study areas	3.3-30
Figure 3.3-13	Population trends for the State of Alaska, North Slope Borough, and Barrow	3.3-32



Figure 3.3-14 Population and ethnicity data, North Slope study area communities, 1999 3.3-33

Figure 3.3-15 Major employers of residents of the North Slope Borough in 1998 3.3-34

Figure 3.3-16 Median household income of North Slope communities, Alaska, and the United States in 1990 3.3-35

Figure 3.3-17 Population and ethnicity data, Central TAPS study area communities, 1999 3.3-36

Figure 3.3-18 Median household income of TAPS study area communities, 1990 3.3-42

Figure 3.3-19 Distribution of Fairbanks North Star Borough employment by sector, 1997 3.3-43

Figure 3.3-20 Population and ethnicity data for Valdez/Prince William Sound communities, 1999 3.3-44

Figure 3.3-21 Median household income of Valdez/Prince William Sound communities, 1990 3.3-47

Figure 3.3-22 Annual subsistence cycle for North Slope communities 3.3-52

Figure 3.3-23 Selected summary quantitative subsistence harvest data, Barrow 3.3-56

Figure 3.3-24 Selected summary quantitative subsistence harvest data, Kaktovik 3.3-56

Figure 3.3-25 Selected summary quantitative subsistence harvest data, Nuiqsut 3.3-57

Figure 3.3-26 Documented annual bowhead whale harvest for Barrow, Kaktovik, and Nuiqsut, 1964-1997 3.3-57

Figure 3.3-27 Harvest data for rural communities in the Central TAPS study area, 1987 3.3-58

Figure 3.3-28 Per-capita harvests of wild resources by resource category, Valdez 3.3-61

Figure 3.3-29 Per-capita harvests of wild resources by resource category, Tatitlek 3.3-62

Figure 3.3-30 Per-capita harvests of wild resources by category, Cordova 3.3-62

Figure 3.3-31 Per-capita harvests of wild resources by category, Chenega Bay 3.3-63

Figure 3.3-32 Percent of respondents reporting eating any wild foods the day before, PWS communities, 1992 3.3-63

Figure 3.3-33 Cultural chronology of the TAPS study area 3.3-67

Figure 3.3-34 Fluted projectile points and lanceolate points 3.3-67

Figure 3.3-35 Artist’s conception of microblade and core 3.3-69

Figure 3.3-36 Sample land ownership map from Appendix C after p. 3.3-72

Figure 3.3-37 Conservation system units in the vicinity of TAPS 3.3-73

Figure 3.3-38 Transportation assets of Alaska 3.3-80

Figure 3.3-39 Number of general aviation aircraft per 10,000 residents 3.3-81

Figure 3.3-40 Number of licensed pilots per 1,000 persons 3.3-81

Figure 3.3-41 Top ten states ranked in descending order of land areas per mile of road 3.3-82

Figure 3.3-42 Common carrier pipelines on the Alaskan North Slope 3.3-84

Figure 4.1-1 TAPS corrosion investigation projects for underground mainline pipe 4.1-2

Figure 4.1-2 Distribution of total spill volume by segment for both crude and product spills (1977-1999) 4.1-6

Figure 4.1-3 Distribution of total number of spills by segment for both crude and product spills (1977-1999) 4.1-6

Figure 4.1-4 Number of spills by volume category (1977-1999) 4.1-7

Figure 4.1-5 Fraction of total volume (crude and product) spilled accounted for by largest spills 4.1-7

Figure 4.1-6 Number of large (>1,000 bbl) spills per billion bbl throughput for Operations segments compared to MMS data 4.1-9

Figure 4.1-7 Cumulative distribution function for E&P spills (1977-1999) 4.1-9

Figure 4.1-8 Cumulative distribution function for pipeline spills (1977-1999) 4.1-9

Figure 4.1-9 Cumulative distribution function for VMT spills (1977-1999) 4.1-10

Figure 4.1-10 Cumulative distribution function for marine transportation spills (1977-1999) ... 4.1-10

Figure 4.1-11 Volumetric spill rate for ANS E&P operations (1977-1999) 4.1-10



Figure 4.1-12	Volumetric spill rate for pipeline (1977-1999)	4.1-11
Figure 4.1-13	Volumetric spill rate for VMT (1977-1999).....	4.1-11
Figure 4.1-14	Volumetric spill rate for marine transportation (1977-1999)	4.1-12
Figure 4.1-15	Probability distribution of number of large spills in ROW renewal period (2004-2034)	4.1-13
Figure 4.1-16	Volumetric spill rate (crude and product) for marine transportation spills <1,000 bbl	4.1-14
Figure 4.2-1	Below-ground thaw bulb	4.2-2
Figure 4.2-2	Typical pipeline details for conventional burial	4.2-2
Figure 4.2-3	Typical pipeline details for special burial	4.2-3
Figure 4.2-4	Typical insulated box	4.2-3
Figure 4.2-5	Potential VSM movement	4.2-3
Figure 4.2-6	Typical thermal VSM	4.2-4
Figure 4.2-7	Typical cross-section of fuel gas line	4.2-4
Figure 4.2-8	Comparison of maximum annual peak flows	4.2-7
Figure 4.2-9	Comparison of maximum flood since startup to 200-year return or design flood ..	4.2-8
Figure 4.2-10	Timeline of the major repairs and new structures for river training structures, 1991-1999	4.2-9
Figure 4.2-11	Middle Fork Koyukuk River, MP 218.5	4.2-15
Figure 4.2-12	Middle Fork Koyukuk River, MP 217	4.2-16
Figure 4.2-13	Tazlina River Bridge, MP 686.7	4.2-17
Figure 4.2-14	Prince William Sound spill prevention and preparedness	after p. 4.2-28
Figure 4.3-1	Conceptual maximum thaw-bulb development for above-ground pipe	4.3-2
Figure 4.3-2	Example of localized workpad impact on ice-rich permafrost	4.3-2
Figure 4.3-3	VSM tilt resulting from frost heave on slopes	4.3-3
Figure 4.3-4	Conceptual thaw-bulb development for refrigerated burial	4.3-4
Figure 4.3-5	Guidebanks to align flow at major bridges	4.3-6
Figure 4.3-6	Elevated crossings on vertical support members	4.3-7
Figure 4.3-7	Revetments to limit riverbank erosion or movements	4.3-8
Figure 4.3-8	Spurs to deflect main channel flow from the pipeline	4.3-9
Figure 4.3-9	Armoring at valve sites	4.3-10
Figure 4.3-10	Structures that protect transitions and elevated lines	4.3-11
Figure 4.3-11	Water use at TAPS facilities, 1994-1999	4.3-13
Figure 4.3-12	Discharges from excavation dewatering	4.3-15
Figure 4.3-13	Simplified VMT BWTF flow schematic	4.3-18
Figure 4.3-14	Annual flow and levels of BTEX and TSS for VMT treated ballast water discharge	4.3-20
Figure 4.3-15	Historical and projected BWTF flows and TAPS throughput	4.3-22
Figure 4.3-16	Ambient air monitoring stations in the Prudhoe Bay oil field in the vicinity of Pump Station 1	4.3-28
Figure 4.3-17	Prudhoe Bay air quality, 10-year trends	4.3-30
Figure 4.3-18	Mean annual air temperature at Fairbanks	4.3-34
Figure 4.3-19	ANS output as percent of domestic crude production or consumption under the proposed action	4.3-73
Figure 4.3-20	Proposed action, state oil revenues and share of total General Fund revenues, 2004 to 2033	4.3-76
Figure 4.3-21	Property tax revenues for proposed action for several communities, 2004 to 2033	4.3-76
Figure 4.4-1	Channel migration along workpad	4.4-2



Figure 4.4-2 Revegetation example of abandoned access road 4.4-3

Figure 4.4-3 Direct annual employment impact of the no-action alternative, 2002 to 2010 4.4-26

Figure 4.4-4 Cumulative lost revenues associated with the no-action alternative, 2004 to 2034 4.4-27

Figure 4.4-5 Cumulative loss of property tax revenues for the no-action alternative, 2004 to 2033 4.4-28

Figure 4.4-6 Projected statewide employment for proposed and no-action alternatives and percent difference, 2000 to 2015 4.4-28

Figure 4.4-7 Projected state total personal income for the proposed and no-action alternatives and percent difference, 2000 to 2015 4.4-29

Figure 4.4-8 Projected disposable personal income for the proposed and no-action alternatives and percent difference, 2000 to 2015 4.4-29

Figure 4.4-9 Projected non-oil gross state product for the proposed and no-action alternatives and percent difference, 2000 to 2015 4.4-29

Figure 4.4-10 Projected state population for the proposed and no-action alternatives and percent difference, 2000 to 2015 4.4-30

Figure 4.4-11 No-action alternative impact on composition of state revenues, 2000 to 2015 4.4-31

Figure 4.4-12 No-action alternative impact on composition of local revenues, 2000 to 2015 4.4-31

Figure 4.4-13 Per-capita impact of the no-action alternative, 2000 to 2015 4.4-32

Figure 4.4-14 Employment projections for the no-action alternative compared with the recessions of 1976 and 1985 4.4-32

Figure 4.4-15 Direct employment impacts of the no-action alternative, 2000 to 2010 4.4-34

Figure 4.4-16 Impact of no-action alternative on total wage and salary employment by region, 2000 to 2010 4.4-35

Figure 4.4-17 Impact of no-action alternative on total wage and salary employment by region in 2015 4.4-35

Figure 4.4-18 Impact of no-action alternative on resident employment by region in 2015 4.4-35

Figure 4.4-19 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income for North Slope, 2000 to 2015 4.4-35

Figure 4.4-20 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income in 2015 by region 4.4-36

Figure 4.4-21 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income for Fairbanks, 2000 to 2015 4.4-36

Figure 4.4-22 Impact of no-action alternative on population by region/community in 2015 4.4-36

Figure 4.4-23 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income for Valdez/Cordova, 2000 to 2015 4.4-38

Figure 4.4-24 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income for Anchorage, 2000 to 2015 4.4-38

Figure 4.4-25 Impact of no-action alternative on real per-capita income for Kenai Peninsula Borough, 2000 to 2015 4.4-39

Figure 4.5-1 Use of a simple matrix to show the distribution of potential cumulative effects when ranked by intensity and probability 4.5-5

Figure 4.5-2 Graphic summary of the steps in the cumulative effects analysis 4.5-7

Figure 4.5-3 Muhlbauer’s hazard-consequence-likelihood matrix 4.5-9

Figure 4.5-4 Estimated liquid production rate as a function of assumed natural gas reserves and production period 4.5-16

Figure 4.5-5 Net natural gas imports and net imports as a percentage of domestic consumption 4.5-17

Figure 4.5-6 Ranking matrix of potential cumulative impacts on physical environment (proposed action) 4.5-22



Figure 4.5-7	Ranking matrix of potential cumulative impacts on biological environment (proposed action)	4.5-29
Figure 4.5-8	Ranking matrix of potential cumulative impacts on social environment (proposed action)	4.5-51
Figure 4.5-9	Historical and projected tanker loadings at Valdez, 1977-2020	4.5-60
Figure 4.5-10	Ranking matrix of cumulative impacts on physical environment (no action alternative)	4.5-67
Figure 4.5-11	Ranking matrix of cumulative impacts on biological environment (no action alternative)	4.5-69
Figure 4.5-12	Ranking matrix of cumulative impacts on social environment (no action alternative)	4.5-78
Figure 4.5-13	Revenue losses to state	4.5-78
Figure 4.5-14	State employment	4.5-79
Figure 4.5-15	Employment as percent of base level	4.5-79
Figure 4.5-16	Regional revenue losses	4.5-80
Figure 4.5-17	Revenue	4.5-80
Figure 4.5-18	Resident employment impact	4.5-80
Figure 4.5-19	Average monthly earnings	4.5-81
Figure 4.5-20	Average household income	4.5-84
Figure 4.5-21	Persons per household	4.5-84
Figure 4.9-1	Energy intensity for freight shipments, 1997	4.9-2
Figure 4.9-2	Crude oil and product shipments in the United States by mode	4.9-2
Figure 4.9-3	The trends in energy intensity for rail and waterborne commerce	4.9-3
Figure A-1	Alaska North Slope oil production projections	A-2
Figure A-2	Baseline throughput assumption used in this analysis	A-3
Figure B-1	Tankers loaded at VMT by year, 1977 to November 1, 1999	B-8
Figure B-2	Tankers loaded at VMT as a function of the volume of crude shipped, 1977-1999	B-8
Figure B-3	Average load per tanker by year (1977-1999) for the ANS trade	B-8
Figure B-4	Distribution of total spill volume among segments for both crude and product spills (1977-1999)	B-10
Figure B-5	Distribution of total number of spills among segments for both crude and product spills (1977-1999)	B-10
Figure B-6	A hypothetical Lorenz diagram	B-12
Figure B-7	Lorenz curve for E&P spills (1977-1999)	B-12
Figure B-8	Cumulative distribution function of ANS E&P spills less than 2 bbl (1977-1999)	B-13
Figure B-9	Lorenz curve for pipeline spills (1977-1999)	B-13
Figure B-10	Cumulative distribution function for pipeline spills less than 2 bbl (1977-1999) ..	B-13
Figure B-11	Cumulative distribution function for VMT spills less than 2 bbl (1977-1999)	B-14
Figure B-12	Cumulative distribution function for tanker spills less than 2 bbl (1977-1999)	B-14
Figure B-13	Number of large spills per billion bbl throughput for Operations compared to MMS data	B-15
Figure B-14	Histogram of E&P crude spills and fitted normal distribution, and normal probability plot of same data	B-18
Figure B-15	Histogram of pipeline crude spills and fitted normal distribution, and normal probability plot of same data	B-18



Figure B-16	Volumetric spill rate for E&P activities (1977-1999)	B-19
Figure B-17	Volumetric spill rate for pipeline and ordinary-least-squares trend (1977-1999), and same data with five largest pipeline spills removed	B-20
Figure B-18	Volumetric spill rate for VMT (1977-1999).....	B-20
Figure B-19	Volumetric spill rate for marine transportation (1977-1999)	B-21
Figure B-20	Projected total and annual spill volumes	B-21
Figure B-21	Probability distribution of number of large spills in ROW renewal period (2004-2034) for various improvement factors	B-28
Figure B-22	Volumetric spill rate (crude and product) for marine transportation spills <1,000 bbl	B-28
Figure C-1	Atlas Maps	after p. C-6
Figure D-1	The Alaska North Slope in the oil field region	D-2
Figure D-2	Alaska North Slope oil fields	D-6
Figure D-3	Alaska North Slope oil field development footprint in 1968	D-7
Figure D-4	Alaska North Slope oil field development footprint in 1973	D-8
Figure D-5	Alaska North Slope oil field development footprint in 1983	D-9
Figure D-6	Alaska North Slope oil field development footprint in 1990	D-10
Figure D-7	Alaska North Slope oil field development footprint in 1999	D-11
Figure D-8	The Trans Alaska Pipeline System	D-13



List of Tables

Table 1.3-1	Facts about construction of TAPS, 1974-1977	1.3-2
Table 1.3-2	Key events in the history of the Trans Alaska Pipeline System	1.3-3
Table 2.1-1	Summary of major features of the Trans Alaska Pipeline System	2.1-2
Table 2.1-2	Trans Alaska Pipeline System pump station summary	2.1-6
Table 2.1-3	Valdez Marine Terminal facility summary	2.1-8
Table 2.2-1	Disposition of TAPS facilities for DR&R	2.2-3
Table 2.3-1	Alternatives considered in the original final environmental impact statement for TAPS	2.3-1
Table 3.1-1	Physiographic units along the Trans Alaska Pipeline System	3.1-2
Table 3.1-2	Flow data record for streams crossed by the pipeline	3.1-14
Table 3.2-1	Active and historical special areas, special management zones, and zones of restricted activity	3.2-2
Table 3.2-2	Vegetation types and associated landforms found in each ecoregion crossed by the TAPS ROW	3.2-4
Table 3.2-3	Scientific and common names of dominant plant species found along the TAPS ROW	3.2-7
Table 3.2-4	Fish species by major drainages along TAPS route	3.2-13
Table 3.2-5	Common and scientific names and status of bird species found along the TAPS ROW, on the North Slope, and in Prince William Sound	3.2-20
Table 3.2-6	Relative abundance of shorebirds (birds/route) by ecoregion	3.2-28
Table 3.2-7	Seabird breeding populations in the vicinity of tanker routes in the North Gulf of Alaska Coast/Prince William Sound region	3.2-31
Table 3.2-8	Priority bird species and their status by biogeographic regions	3.2-32
Table 3.2-9	Terrestrial mammal species, game management unit or herd, and recent population/herd status near TAPS ROW	3.2-33
Table 3.2-10	Species observations within the TAPS ROW as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-36
Table 3.2-11	Moose observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-36
Table 3.2-12	Caribou observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-36
Table 3.2-13	Bison observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-37
Table 3.2-14	Wolf observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-37
Table 3.2-15	Black bear observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-37
Table 3.2-16	Brown (grizzly) bear observations along the TAPS ROW by month and year as recorded during Alyeska Security flight surveillance	3.2-38
Table 3.2-17	Furbearers and small mammals that have been recorded in Alaska	3.2-67



Table 3.2-18	Alaska hunting and trapping seasons for furbearers and small mammals	3.2-69
Table 3.2-19	Marine mammal species of the Beaufort Sea and Prince William Sound	3.2-73
Table 3.2-20	Status and distribution of threatened and endangered species in Alaska	3.2-83
Table 3.3-1	Alaska gross state product by sector	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2	Alaska North Slope investment through 1998	3.3-6
Table 3.3-3	Pipeline-related employment and wages	3.3-7
Table 3.3-4	Alyeska Pipeline Service Company TAPS expenditures	3.3-8
Table 3.3-5	State revenues, North Slope oil production and pipeline operations, 1978-1998 ...	3.3-9
Table 3.3-6	Property tax revenues, 1975-1998	3.3-9
Table 3.3-7	Annual average employment	3.3-10
Table 3.3-8	Place of residence of North Slope oil workers	3.3-16
Table 3.3-9	Local government for 1998	3.3-18
Table 3.3-10	Full- and part-time employment by place of work, 1977	3.3-20
Table 3.3-11	Economic and demographic indicators	3.3-20
Table 3.3-12	Summary comparison of land ownership of TAPS ROW from 1972 to 1999	3.3-72
Table 3.3-13	Visual resources along TAPS	3.3-77
Table 4.1-1	North Slope production and transportation system segments employed in oil spill analysis	4.1-5
Table 4.1-2	Crude or product spills >1,000 bbl for Operations (1977-99)	4.1-8
Table 4.1-3	Potential benefits of double-hull tankers	4.1-13
Table 4.1-4	Estimates of future oil spills based on historical data only	4.1-15
Table 4.1-5	Estimates of future marine transportation spills based on allowance for mitigating measures	4.1-15
Table 4.2-1	Requirements of the VMT NPDES permit	4.2-20
Table 4.2-2	Requirements of line-wide NPDES permit	4.2-20
Table 4.2-3	NPDES multi-sector permit requirements for operations material sites	4.2-21
Table 4.2-4	State of Alaska drinking-water monitoring requirements	4.2-21
Table 4.2-5	Some key TAPS federal and state air-quality monitoring requirements	4.2-22
Table 4.2-6	TAPS oil spill contingency equipment	4.2-26
Table 4.2-7	Prince William Sound spill prevention and response	4.2-28
Table 4.2-8	Summary of Alyeska SERVUS spill response equipment	4.2-29
Table 4.3-1	Summary of wastewater discharges from pump stations and MCCFs	4.3-14
Table 4.3-2	Discharges addressed in 1998 line-wide NPDES permit application	4.3-16
Table 4.3-3	Potential annual air emission rates for TAPS facilities	4.3-23
Table 4.3-4	Permitted stationary emission sources at TAPS pump stations	4.3-24
Table 4.3-5	Permitted Valdez Marine Terminal emission sources	4.3-25
Table 4.3-6	Monitoring and modeling data for TAPS air-quality impacts	4.3-28
Table 4.3-7	Prudhoe Bay Unit, 10-year ambient-air-impact trend	4.3-29
Table 4.3-8	Impacts on visibility from VMT tanker vapor recovery	4.3-30
Table 4.3-9	Six crude oil spills monitored by BLM along TAPS ROW	4.3-41
Table 4.3-10	Numbers of graminoid and forb “weeds” and/or introduced vascular plant species found at each of 52 locations along the TAPS ROW during July-September 1999 survey	4.3-42
Table 4.3-11	Means and standard errors from 49 locations along TAPS (July-September 1999) from within the ROW and adjacent control sites	4.3-43
Table 4.3-12	Causes of human-induced mean annual animal mortality by species in Alaska ...	4.3-60
Table 4.3-13	Caribou and reindeer killed in Alaska for wildlife management and research	4.3-61
Table 4.3-14	Moose killed by trains in Alaska	4.3-61
Table 4.3-15	Moose killed by documented collisions with motor vehicles in Southcentral Alaska	4.3-61



Table 4.3-16	Basic-sector employment and projected growth rates for the proposed action	4.3-72
Table 4.3-17	Direct full-time employment from pipeline and North Slope-related activity associated with the proposed action	4.3-76
Table 4.3-18	Annual average growth rates for economic indicators under the proposed action	4.3-77
Table 4.3-19	Total wage and salary employment by region for the proposed action	4.3-78
Table 4.3-20	Total resident employment by region for the proposed action	4.3-78
Table 4.3-21	Real per-capita income by region for the proposed action	4.3-79
Table 4.3-22	Population by region for the proposed action	4.3-79
Table 4.4-1	Prudhoe Bay area annual background levels	4.4-5
Table 4.4-2	Valdez area background levels	4.4-5
Table 4.4-3	No-action alternative impact on direct annual average employment of pipeline operations including special projects	4.4-23
Table 4.4-4	No-action alternative impact on direct annual average employment of pipeline DR&R	4.4-23
Table 4.4-5	No-action alternative impact on direct annual average employment of total pipeline-related activity	4.4-24
Table 4.4-6	No-action alternative impact on North Slope oil field construction and operations direct annual average employment	4.4-24
Table 4.4-7	No-action alternative impact on direct annual average employment of North Slope oil facilities	4.4-25
Table 4.4-8	No-action alternative impact on direct annual average employment of North Slope oil-related activity	4.4-25
Table 4.5-1	CEQ principles of cumulative effects analysis	4.5-3
Table 4.5-2	Procedure for cumulative effects analysis in this report	4.5-4
Table 4.5-3	Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions	4.5-11
Table 4.5-4	Past and potential future crude oil production from North Slope fields.	4.5-13
Table 4.5-5	Basic elements of the proposed Trans Alaska Gas System	4.5-15
Table 4.5-6	Additional features of the proposed Trans Alaska Gas System	4.5-15
Table 4.5-7	Overview of ANGTS project	4.5-18
Table 4.5-8	Criteria for ranking potential cumulative effects on physical resources	4.5-21
Table 4.5-9	Physical cumulative effects summary: Proposed Action	after p. 4.5-20
Table 4.5-10	Criteria for ranking potential cumulative effects on biological resources	4.5-28
Table 4.5-11	Biological cumulative effects summary: Proposed Action	after p. 4.5-28
Table 4.5-12	Criteria for ranking potential cumulative effects on social resources	4.5-50
Table 4.5-13	Social resource cumulative effects summary: Proposed Action	after p. 4.5-50
Table 4.5-14	Alyeska Native employment goals	4.5-57
Table 4.5-15	North Slope oil fields	4.5-61
Table 4.5-16	Biological cumulative effects summary: No-action alternative	after p. 4.5-68
Table 4.5-17	Social resource cumulative effects summary: No-action alternative	after p. 4.5-78
Table 4.6-1	Unavoidable adverse effects of proposed action	4.6-2
Table 4.9-1	Energy intensity for TAPS, 1999	4.9-2
Table 4.9-2a	Calculation of energy intensity for oil tankers based on data published by the National Research Council	4.9-4
Table 4.9-2b	Calculation of energy intensity for oil tankers based on data published by the U.S. Department of Energy	4.9-4
Table 4.9-3	Energy requirements as percentage of energy shipped	4.9-5
Table A-1	Baseline throughput assumption and constant decline model compared	A-2
Table A-2	Alaska oil production in 2020: Sensitivity results	A-3
Table B-1	Operations spill data sources and data utilization	B-4



Table B-2	Prior knowledge tested/incorporated in present spill analysis	B-5
Table B-3	Operations segments employed in oil spill analysis	B-6
Table B-4	The ten largest oil spills (1977-1999)	B-9
Table B-5	More exact calculation of original EIS estimates of spill volumes	B-11
Table B-6	Number of crude spills greater than or equal to specific spill threshold (1977-1999) by Operations segment and comparison with MMS estimates	B-15
Table B-7	Characteristics of TAPS crude and product spills (1977-1999)	B-16
Table B-8	Wide applicability of the lognormal distribution	B-17
Table B-9	Spill projections based on different data sets	B-22
Table B-10	Prince William Sound spill prevention and response	B-23
Table B-11	Potential benefits of double-hull tankers	B-24
Table B-12	Discharge history of all spills greater than 55 gallons from either VMT or the marine transportation link	B-26
Table B-13	Probability calculations for future marine spills over ROW renewal period	B-27
Table B-14	Annual and total volumes from marine spills less than 1,000 bbl by material spilled, spill rate, and projected future small spills	B-29
Table B-15	The <i>American Trader</i> crude spill; several comments on the protective effect of double hulls	B-30
Table B-16	Risk-related estimates	B-31
Table B-17	Comparison of spill rates by Operations segment	B-32
Table B-18	Estimates of future Operations oil spills based on historical data only	B-33
Table B-19	Estimate of future marine transportation spills based on allowance for mitigating measures	B-33
Table C-1	Active TAPS operations material sites on public lands	C-2
Table C-2	Major and minor river and creek crossings and instream and floodplain segments .	C-4
Table D-1	Average rate of increase in ANS oil field development footprint, 1968-99	D-12
Table D-2	Scientific studies during ANS oil-field development phases	D-12



List of Photos

Photo 2-1	Vertical support members elevate the trans-Alaska pipeline in areas of thaw-unstable permafrost	2-2
Photo 2.1-1	Examples of TAPS bridges	2.1-4
Photo 2.1-2	Valdez Marine Terminal	2.1-7
Photo 2.1-3	Operations Control Center at Valdez	2.1-9
Photo 2.1-4	Drag-reducing agent	2.1-11
Photo 2.1-5	Escort vessels accompany a tanker through Prince William Sound	2.1-14
Photo 2.2-1	Isabel Camp in 1976 during pipeline construction	2.2-2
Photo 2.2-2	Isabel Camp site following removal and restoration	2.2-2
Photo 3.2-1	Arctic grayling	3.2-14
Photo 3.2-2	Sockeye salmon	3.2-17
Photo 3.2-3	Red-throated Loon	3.2-24
Photo 3.2-4	Tundra Swans	3.2-24
Photo 3.2-5	Brant pair	3.2-25
Photo 3.2-6	Harlequin Duck	3.2-26
Photo 3.2-7	Red-necked Phalarope	3.2-27
Photo 3.2-8	Sabine's Gull	3.2-29
Photo 3.2-9	Common Raven	3.2-30
Photo 3.2-10	Cow moose	3.2-38
Photo 3.2-11	CAH caribou in North Slope oil field	3.2-44
Photo 3.2-12	Caribou on North Slope	3.2-47
Photo 3.2-13	Muskoxen	3.2-48
Photo 3.2-14	Bison	3.2-50
Photo 3.2-15	Dall sheep	3.2-52
Photo 3.2-16	Sitka black-tailed deer	3.2-54
Photo 3.2-17	Brown bear	3.2-57
Photo 3.2-18	Black bear	3.2-62
Photo 3.2-19	Wolf	3.2-64
Photo 3.2-20	Arctic fox	3.2-71
Photo 3.2-21	Polar bear	3.2-75
Photo 3.2-22	Steller sea lions with pups	3.2-79
Photo 3.2-23	Spectacled Eider	3.2-82
Photo 3.2-24	Steller's Eider	3.2-85
Photo 3.2-25	Arctic Peregrine Falcon	3.2-86
Photo 3.3-1	Production facility at Prudhoe Bay for separating water and gas from the crude oil	3.3-1
Photo 3.3-2	Tanker with escort vessels in Prince William Sound	3.3-6
Photo 3.3-3	Pipe is wrapped and placed in trench during TAPS construction	3.3-24
Photo 3.3-4	Shoreline cleanup work during <i>Exxon Valdez</i> spill	3.3-28
Photo 3.3-5	Barrow is the largest North Slope Borough community	3.3-33



Photo 3.3-6	The North Slope community of Nuiqsut	3.3-35
Photo 3.3-7	Kaktovik on the coast near the Canadian border	3.3-36
Photo 3.3-8	Wiseman	3.3-37
Photo 3.3-9	Coldfoot	3.3-37
Photo 3.3-10	Stevens Village	3.3-38
Photo 3.3-11	Rampart	3.3-38
Photo 3.3-12	Fairbanks	3.3-39
Photo 3.3-13	The community of Delta Junction	3.3-40
Photo 3.3-14	Glennallen	3.3-41
Photo 3.3-15	Copper Center	3.3-42
Photo 3.3-16	The Prince William Sound community of Chenega Bay	3.3-46
Photo 3.3-17	The Valdez boat harbor is home to numerous fishing vessels	3.3-47
Photo 3.3-18	The community of Tatitlek	3.3-48
Photo 3.3-19	Valdez	3.3-48
Photo 3.3-20	The boat harbor at Cordova	3.3-49
Photo 3.3-21	Butchering a whale at Barrow	3.3-56
Photo 3.3-22	Fish wheel on the Yukon River	3.3-59
Photo 3.3-23	Snow machine and baleen in Nuiqsut	3.3-64
Photo 3.3-24	Broken Mammoth Site, one of the oldest documented sites in Alaska	3.3-66
Photo 3.3-25	Excavation of the Gallagher Flint Station archeological site during TAPS construction	3.3-66
Photo 3.3-26	Photo of historical site (LIV-299), a building associated with a mine operating from the 1930s to the 1940s in Vault Creek valley	3.3-68
Photo 3.3-27	Splitting adze from the PWS region	3.3-71
Photo 3.3-28	TAPS visitor site at pipeline visitors center near Fairbanks	3.3-75
Photo 3.3-29	Dalton Highway	3.3-82
Photo 4.1-1	Typical TAPS corrosion investigation project	4.1-1
Photo 4.1-2	Coating mainline pipe.	4.1-3
Photo 4.2-1	Pipeline crossing of Denali Fault showing beams embedded in a gravel berm to allow large pipe movements	4.2-5
Photo 4.2-2	Settlement monitoring rods along buried section of TAPS	4.2-12
Photo 4.2-3	Smart pig	4.2-12